第4回ITER物理R&D研究会 東大工 2001/12/26

Expert Group Meeting 「高エネルギー粒子,加熱および定常運転」理論関係報告

福山 淳 (京大工 原子核)

International Workshop on Physics of Internal Transport Barrier, Edge Pedestal and Steady State Operation in Tokamaks IPP Garching, Germany, 2001/04/23-27

- 高エネルギー粒子: Zonca, Jaun, Fukuyama
- 加熱: Fukuyama, Prater, Vdovin
- 定常運転:
- ・コメント

Zonal Flow Excitation by Nonlinear EPM Dynamics

F. Zonca (ENEA, Frascatti)

- 3D Hybrid MHD-Gyrokinetic code による EPM の非線形シミュレーション
 - ° Briguglio et al. PoP 2 (1995) 3711; PoP 5 (1998) 3287
 - EPM の非線形発展と粒子輸送
 - EPM の励起がしきい値を越えると
 - → 高速粒子の分布が径方向に再分配され
 - → モードが飽和する.
 - n = 8 EPM: $\beta_{\rm E0} = 0.75 \%$, $L_{\rm pE}/R_0 \simeq 0.075$, $\rho_{\rm LE}/a = 0.01$
 - 。 EPM による渦構造が径方向に分裂 $(k_{\theta} = k_{\parallel} = 0)$

— 高速イオンの拡散的輸送

• 変調不安定性と EPM 渦の径方向分裂 (analytic)

 $^{\circ}$ pump EPM \pm zonal flow = sidebands

Alfvén Eigenmode Experiments on JET

A. Jaun (Alfvén Lab)

• これまでの理解: PENN code

○ アルヴェン固有モードの安定性はモード変換が支配
 ○ ダイバータ配位におけるX点近傍の安定化効果

• パラメータ依存性

- ○反転磁気シア:安定化/不安定化ははっきりしない.
- *β*依存性:
 *β*の増加とともに中心部の減衰増大:
 KTAE?
- B依存性: 減衰率は B に依存せず. Radiative damping ではない.
- $o q_0$ 依存性: $q_0 > 5$ の場合, $I_r p$ に依存しない低減衰率モード出現 Drift-kinetic Alfvén eigemodes?
- ITER に対する検討事項
 - 実験データと理論モデルとの定量的比較
 - ○高q₀プラズマにおいて出現する低減衰モードの影響

加熱・電流駆動・定常運転

• **EC**:

• Fukuyama: Evaluation of Radial Deposition width in ECCD

 $^{\rm o}$ Prater: Progress on ECH and ECCD in DIII-D

- 小半径の大きな領域や異なる入射角での実験が必要
- **IC**

Vdovin: ICRF シナリオ in ITER

Motivation

- Current profile control by EC waves
 - ° Localized profile of driven current
 - Position control by injection angle
- Control of MHD instability
 - ° Suppression of island growth due to tearing instability
 - ° Localized current profile is required
- Evaluation of the current profile width
 - ° Doppler broadening, decay length
 - Finite beam size, focusing
 - Defraction
- Limitation of ray tracing
 - Defraction effect cannot be included



- Beam size perpendicular to the beam direction: first order in δ
- **Beam shape :** Weber function Hermite polynomial: *H_n*)

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \operatorname{Re}\left[\sum_{mn} C_{mn}(\delta^2 \boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{e}(\delta^2 \boldsymbol{r})H_m(\delta\xi_1)H_n(\delta\xi_2) \operatorname{e}^{\operatorname{i}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{r})-\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{r})}\right]$$

• Amplitude : C_{mn} , Polarization : e, Phase : $s(r) + i \phi(r)$

$$s(\mathbf{r}) = s_0(\tau) + k_{\alpha}^0(\tau)[r^{\alpha} - r_0^{\alpha}(\tau)] + \frac{1}{2}s_{\alpha\beta}[r^{\alpha} - r_0^{\alpha}(\tau)][r^{\beta} - r_0^{\beta}(\tau)]$$

$$\phi(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{\alpha\beta}[r^{\alpha} - r_0^{\alpha}(\tau)][r^{\beta} - r_0^{\beta}(\tau)]$$

- Position of beam axis : r_0 , Wave number on beam axis: k^0
- **Curvature radius of equi-phase surface:** $R_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\lambda s_{\alpha\alpha}}$

• **Beam radius :** $d_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}}$

• Gaussian beam : case with m = 0, n = 0



• Solvable condition for Maxwell's equation with beam field

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r_{0}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial k_{\alpha}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}k_{\alpha}^{0}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial r^{\alpha}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}s_{\alpha\beta}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -\frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial r^{\alpha}\partial r^{\beta}} - \frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial r^{\beta}\partial k_{\gamma}}s_{\alpha\gamma} - \frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial r^{\alpha}\partial k_{\gamma}}s_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial k^{\gamma}\partial k^{\delta}}s_{\alpha\gamma}s_{\beta\delta} + \frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial k^{\gamma}\partial k^{\delta}}\phi_{\alpha\gamma}\phi_{\beta\delta}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_{\alpha\beta}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -\left(\frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial r^{\alpha}\partial k^{\gamma}} + \frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial k^{\gamma}\partial k_{\delta}}s_{\alpha\delta}\right)\phi_{\beta\gamma} - \left(\frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial r^{\beta}\partial k^{\gamma}} + \frac{\partial^{2}K}{\partial k^{\gamma}\partial k_{\delta}}s_{\beta\delta}\right)\phi_{\alpha\gamma}$$

- By integrating this set of 18 ordinary differential equations, we obtain trace of the beam axis, wave number on the beam axis, curvature of equi-phase surface, and beam size.
- Equation for the wave amplitude C_{mn}

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{v}_{g0} | \boldsymbol{C}_{mn} |^2 \right) = -2 \left(\gamma | \boldsymbol{C}_{mn} |^2 \right)$$

Group velocity: v_{g0} , **Damping rate:** $\gamma \equiv (e^* \cdot \overleftarrow{\epsilon}_A \cdot e)/(\partial K/\partial \omega)$

• 160 GHz, Ordinary Mode, Perpendicular Injection



Beam Tracing in ITER-FEAT Plasma



Summary

- Based on the formulation of beam tracing, the wave propagation code TASK/WR was extended to calculate the spatial evolution of the EC beam size.
- We have confirmed the diffraction effect and the initial wave front curvature dependence of the beam size.
- In order to focus after 1 m propagation, initial beam radius of 3cm is required for 160 GHz.
- In the case of ITER-FEAT, initial beam radius of 5cm is required to focus in the NTM island region.
- To dos:
 - Magnetic surface average
 - ° Coupling with Fokker-Planck Analysis

コメント

- IAEA Fusion Energy Conf. 2002 に予定されている論文題目
 - Collective modes and Fast Particle effects on ITER
 - Progress on Steady State scenarios for ITER
- 今後の課題(理論・シミュレーション)
 - 高速粒子
 - ― アルヴェン固有モードの安定性
 - (負磁気シア配位, EPM,ドリフト波との結合)
 - ― アルヴェン固有モードによる非線形効果(飽和,バースト,再分配)
 定常運転
 - ECCD による NTM の安定化

 - 粒子輸送:密度限界,不純物輸送,燃料補給